

1 **Fitness Evaluation in Young and Amateur Soccer Players: Reference Values for**  
2 **Vertical Jump and Aerobic Fitness in Men and Women.**

3 **Summary**

4 *Introduction:* The evaluation of the physical condition is essential for being able to  
5 apply the results to the individual training planning. *Purpose:* The main objective of this  
6 study was to assess the physical condition of young and amateur soccer players.  
7 *Methods:* 362 soccer players ( $14.86\pm 3.18$  years;  $1.66\pm 0.14$  meters;  $56.62\pm 12.91$  kg)  
8 from 19 different teams participated in the study. The extensor strength of lower limbs  
9 was evaluated using a countermovement jump (CMJ) and the aerobic fitness was tested  
10 through the incremental run Leger test. Subsequently, the differences between the  
11 results of the different tests were analyzed according to gender (male and female),  
12 category (senior, U19, U16 and U14), position (goalkeeper, central defender, full back,  
13 midfielder, winger and striker) and competitive level (national, regional and local).  
14 *Results:* Statistically significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ) were found in both tests according  
15 to gender and category. The competitive level was also a discriminatory variable in U19  
16 and U14 categories for both tests. The analysis based on the position did not show  
17 significant differences in the CMJ. However, in the Leger test, fullbacks, midfielders  
18 and wingers obtained better results than the goalkeepers. *Conclusion:* In conclusion, the  
19 results of the CMJ and Leger tests in young and amateur soccer players must be  
20 analyzed individually, using specific reference values according to gender, category,  
21 position and competitive level, due to the differences found based on these variables.

22 **Key Words:** Physical Condition; Team Sports; Youth Players; CMJ; Leger Test.

23

24

25 **Évaluation de la Condition Physique des Jeunes Footballeurs Amateurs: Valeurs**  
26 **de Référence pour le Saut Vertical et l’Aptitude Aérobie pour les Hommes et les**  
27 **Femmes.**

28 **Résumé**

29 *Introduction:* L'évaluation de la condition physique est essentielle pour pouvoir  
30 appliquer les résultats à la planification de l'entraînement individuel. *Objectif:* L'objectif  
31 principal de cette étude était d'évaluer la condition physique des jeunes footballeurs  
32 amateurs. *Méthode:* 362 joueurs de football ( $14.86 \pm 3.18$  ans;  $1.66 \pm 0.14$  mètres;  $56.62$   
33  $\pm 12.91$  kg) de 19 équipes différentes ont participé à l'étude. La force d'extension des  
34 membres inférieurs a été évaluée à l'aide d'un saut vertical (CMJ) et l'aptitude aérobie a  
35 été testée par le test de Leger. Ensuite, les différences entre les résultats des différents  
36 tests ont été analysées selon le sexe (homme et femme), la catégorie (senior, U19, U16  
37 et U14), la position (gardien, défenseur central, arrière latéraux, milieu de terrain, ailier  
38 et attaquant) et niveau compétitif (national, régional et local). *Résultats:* Des différences  
39 statistiquement significatives ( $p < 0.05$ ) ont été trouvées dans les deux tests en fonction  
40 du sexe et de la catégorie. Le niveau de compétition était également une variable  
41 discriminante dans les catégories U19 et U14 pour les deux tests. L'analyse basée sur la  
42 position sur la position n'a pas montré de différence significative dans le CMJ.  
43 Cependant, dans le test Léger, les arrières latéraux, les milieux de terrain et les ailiers  
44 ont obtenu de meilleurs résultats que les gardiens de but. *Conclusion:* En conclusion, les  
45 résultats des tests CMJ et Léger des jeunes footballeurs amateurs doivent être analysés  
46 individuellement, en utilisant des valeurs de référence spécifiques selon le sexe, la  
47 catégorie, la position et le niveau de compétition, en raison des différences constatées  
48 sur la base de ces variables.

49 **Mots clés:** Condition Physique; Sports d'Équipe; Jeunes Joueurs; CMJ; Test Léger.

50        **1. Introduction**

51 Soccer is the most popular sport in the world with approximately 265 million players  
52 and 5 million referees actively involved, which is roughly 4% of the world's population  
53 (1).

54 It is a sport in which performance depends on multiple factors, including technical,  
55 tactical, psychological and physical aspects (2). The technical and tactical skills have  
56 been considered as determining factors in the performance, although there are also  
57 studies that show the great influence of the physical condition during the competition  
58 (3). Likewise, there are investigations that show how physical abilities are a  
59 discriminating element among players of different competitive levels (4). In the same  
60 way, we can find works that give relevant importance to physical condition level as a  
61 performance determinant during matches, especially in forwards (5).

62 On a physical level, football is characterized by requiring intermittent efforts with  
63 sequences of actions that include different skills, the main one being the run. In  
64 addition, jumps are high intensity efforts that have been considered as important  
65 performance factors in this sport (6).

66 Although it exceeds the main objective of this work, in elite football coaches are in a  
67 constant search to identify and develop talented young players. There are numerous  
68 factors that can predispose young players to have a successful career in the professional  
69 world. Detection and prediction of future talents, given the multifactorial and changing  
70 nature of sports evolution, is really complex. However, there are studies that positively  
71 correlate the young players results in physical fitness tests with their competitive level  
72 during their adult stage (4).

73 Despite the discrepancies that can be found in the scientific literature about the  
74 usefulness of conducting physical tests for the early identification of football talent, its

75 usefulness for other purposes is much less questionable. According to Lidor, Côté, &  
76 Hackfort (7), data derived from physical tests can help trainers and physical trainers to  
77 setting goals based on the individual needs of each player. In this way, the training  
78 process would be improved, through greater adaptation and individualization of the  
79 contents. In addition, the results obtained in the tests can serve as a tool to improve  
80 feedback with the players, as well as to monitor their progress during the training  
81 process.

82 The evaluation of the physical condition is therefore essential for being able to apply  
83 results to the individual planning (8). However, it is necessary to choose tests that  
84 evaluate performance factors that really discriminate success possibilities during  
85 competition.

86 The main objective in soccer is to get more goals than the opponent. In this sense, the  
87 vertical jump is the second action that most frequently precedes the goal, only preceded  
88 by the straight-line sprint (9). In this study it was reported that 16% of the goals were  
89 subsequently scored to an aerial duel won thanks to a vertical jump.

90 In addition, several studies have demonstrated the close relationship between the results  
91 in vertical jump test and the time recorded in sprints of 10, 20 and 30 meters (10-12),  
92 which are the most recurrent distances during competition matches (2, 13).

93 Vertical jump measurement has been a recurring assessment in the evaluation of  
94 physical condition in soccer players during the last decades, especially through CMJ  
95 (14-16). This test has demonstrated great validity and reliability (17), even in children  
96 (18).

97 On the other hand, although the importance of having a high maximum oxygen  
98 consumption ( $VO_2max$ ) in modern football has recently been questioned, several  
99 authors place the minimum threshold needed to possess the physiological attributes for

100 success at 60 ml/kg/min in elite men's football (19, 20). In women these values are  
101 around 52 ml/kg/min (2, 21). Therefore, the measurement of aerobic condition is  
102 important to assess the effectiveness of training programs and the physical condition of  
103 the players for the competition (22).

104 In the same way, VO<sub>2</sub>max estimation from indirect field tests is a commonly used  
105 strategy in the evaluation of physical condition in soccer players. Among these, the  
106 Leger & Lambert test (23) stands out, which has been used multiple times for this  
107 purpose (24, 25) and it has a high correlation between the maximum speed reached in  
108 the test (maximum aerobic velocity) and the VO<sub>2</sub>max ( $r = 0.84$ ).

109 Therefore, the main objective of this study was to assess the physical condition of  
110 young and amateur soccer players from specific tests to the sport performed: extensor  
111 strength of lower limbs using a CMJ and the aerobic fitness through an incremental run  
112 test. In addition, the differences between the results of the different tests were analyzed  
113 according to the gender, category (age), position and competitive level. We hypothesize  
114 that differences in physical condition would differ not only based on competitive level,  
115 gender and category but also based on position.

## 116 **2. Methods**

### 117 ***2.1 Experimental Design***

118 For the present study a descriptive design was used, through the evaluation of the  
119 physical abilities of soccer players, with the purpose of describing and analyzing their  
120 physical condition level. The dependent variables on the research were the height of a  
121 vertical jump (CMJ), the last level completed at the Leger test, the maximum speed  
122 reached in the Leger test and the estimated VO<sub>2</sub>máx. The independent variables used  
123 were gender, category (age), position and competitive level.

124

125 **2.2 Participants**

126 362 soccer players (326 males) from 19 different teams, whose characteristics can be  
127 seen in table 1, participated in the study. All of them performed three 90-minute  
128 workouts and one official match per week. For the results analysis, the sample was  
129 divided according to the gender (male or female), category (senior, under-19, under-16  
130 or under-14), competitive level (national, regional or local) and position (goalkeeper,  
131 central defender, fullback, midfielder, winger or striker), as it appears in table 2.  
132 According to the competitive level, the players grouped within the national category are  
133 those of the highest competitive level, since they compete throughout the country.  
134 Those of regional category travel within their region to play, which denotes an  
135 intermediate competitive level, while those of local level only compete within their city.  
136 Prior to the beginning of the investigation, and after being informed of the objective and  
137 the tests that would be carried out, informed consent was obtained following the  
138 instructions of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Table 1.

Participant characteristics (mean  $\pm$  sd).

Gender	Category	Age (years)	Height (metres)	Weight (kg)
Females (n=36)	Senior (n=19)	19,74 $\pm$ 3,03	1,65 $\pm$ 0,06	60,05 $\pm$ 7,75
	U19 (n=17)	15,43 $\pm$ 1,32	1,62 $\pm$ 0,06	56,72 $\pm$ 9,53
Males (n=326)	Senior (n=34)	21,68 $\pm$ 2,14	1,77 $\pm$ 0,07	74,29 $\pm$ 8,93
	U19 (n=46)	16,61 $\pm$ 1,41	1,76 $\pm$ 0,06	67,05 $\pm$ 8,97
	U16 (n=124)	14,22 $\pm$ 0,66	1,67 $\pm$ 0,09	57,01 $\pm$ 9,48
	U14 (n=122)	12,19 $\pm$ 0,71	1,56 $\pm$ 0,18	44,74 $\pm$ 7,74

139 Note: U19=under-19; U16=under-16; U14=under-14.

140

Table 2.

Sample distribution by gender, category, competitive level and position.

Gender	Category	Competitive Level	Position					
			GK	CD	FB	CM	WG	ST
Females (n=36)	Senior (n=19)	Autonomic (n=19)	2	4	3	7	0	3
	U19 (n=17)	Regional (n=17)	2	2	3	2	3	5
		National (n=14)	2	3	3	2	3	1
Males (n=326)	Senior (n=34)	Regional (n=20)	2	4	3	7	2	2
		National (n=10)	0	1	1	2	3	3
	U19 (n=46)	Regional (n=36)	6	2	3	10	8	7
		Autonomic (n=19)	1	5	2	7	0	4
	U16 (n=124)	Regional (n=105)	7	18	18	23	21	18
		Autonomic (n=48)	3	10	9	9	10	7
	U14 (n=122)	Regional (n=74)	6	13	11	17	16	11
National (n=24)		2	4	4	4	6	4	
Global by competitive level	Autonomic (n=86)	6	19	14	23	10	14	
	Regional (n=252)	23	39	38	59	50	43	
	Global (n=362)	31	62	56	86	66	61	

141 Note: GK=goalkeeper; CD=central defender; FB=fullback; CM=midfielder;

142 WG=winger; ST=striker

### 143 **2.3 Testing Procedures**

144 The participants performed all the tests in a single day, in a session lasting  
 145 approximately 60 minutes. The measurements were made in the second month of the  
 146 season, during the last week before the start of the official competition. All tests were  
 147 performed on an artificial grass surface of a soccer stadium (105x68 meters).

148 After a standardized 10-minute warm-up, consisting of continuous running, joint  
149 mobility, dynamic stretching, 5 CMJ and 3 sprint progressions of 20 meters, physical  
150 tests were performed. There is no consensus in the scientific literature about the  
151 influence of a fatigue activity such as the Leger test on performance in the CMJ. While  
152 some authors have found negative effects (26), other publications claim that it can  
153 improve jump height (27). For this reason, it was decided to always perform the CMJ  
154 first to avoid the possible influence of the Leger test on it. In addition, to avoid the  
155 undesired effects of the waiting time, in the event that it exceeded 10 minutes between  
156 tests, participants performed a re-warm-up protocol before each test. This consisted of  
157 making 5 CMJ and 3 sprint progressions of 20 meters.

158 Firstly, the participants performed a CMJ jump that was measured by the MyJump2 iOS  
159 app, installed on two different iOS devices (iPhone 8 and iPad Pro, both equipped with  
160 slow motion function camera at 240 fps). This app was selected for its reliability and  
161 scientifically proven validity (28). Then, all participants took part in the Leger test,  
162 which consists in performing an incremental run test with changes of direction every 20  
163 meters. The audio track was played through the stadium speakers. The participants ran  
164 until exhaustion or until their pace was lower than that marked by the audio track, at  
165 which time the researchers withdrew them from the test and noted their final result. The  
166 maximum speed reached during the test was found through the equation provided by  
167 Leger, Mercier, Gadoury, & Lambert (29):

$$168 \quad \textit{Speed} = \textit{last level number completed} + 0.5 * \textit{last level number completed}$$

169 Similarly, the maximum oxygen consumption was determined using the equation  
170 proposed by Leger, Mercier, Gadoury, & Lambert (29):

$$171 \quad \textit{VO2max} = 31,025 + 3,238 * \textit{speed} - 3,248 * \textit{age} + 0.1536 * \textit{speed} * \textit{age}$$

172 In this equation, the age value remains stable at 18 for subjects above 18 years old.



173 **2.4 Statistical Analysis**

174 The normality of the data was checked through the Shapiro-Wilk test (when the groups  
175 sample sizes were less than 50) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (when  $n > 50$ ). In the same  
176 way, the homocedasticity of the data was analyzed by performing the Levene test. A  
177 student t-test was performed to compare the test results according to gender. Likewise, a  
178 one-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values of each variable according to  
179 the category, competitive level and position, using Bonferroni's post hoc tests to assess  
180 the possible existence of significant differences between groups. All the analysis were  
181 performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 23.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

182 **3. Results**

183 As can be seen in Table 3, the results of the women's teams in CMJ and Leger test are  
184 significantly lower ( $p=0.000$ ) than those of the men's teams (-41% in senior category  
185 and -32% in U19 for CMJ; -47.6% in senior category and -43.3% in U19 for Leger  
186 test). Only in the CMJ the results of women resemble those of male U14 soccer players.  
187 We can also point out that male senior category players exceed the results of all the rest  
188 groups ( $p=0.000$ ). On the contrary, there are no significant differences in the estimated  
189  $VO_{2max}$  between the different male categories ( $p=0.06$ ).

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

Table 3.

Comparison of test results according to gender and category (mean  $\pm$  sd).

Gender & category	CMJ (cm)	Leger Level	Máx. speed Leger (km/h)	VO <sub>2</sub> máx (ml/kg/min)
Fem U19	20.65 $\pm$ 5.05 <sub>a</sub>	4.76 $\pm$ 1.75 <sub>a</sub>	10.38 $\pm$ 0.87 <sub>a</sub>	39.87 $\pm$ 5.01 <sub>a</sub>
Fem Sen	21.22 $\pm$ 3.99 <sub>a</sub>	5.50 $\pm$ 1.98 <sub>ab</sub>	10.75 $\pm$ 0.99 <sub>ab</sub>	39.67 $\pm$ 5.77 <sub>a</sub>
Male U14	22.02 $\pm$ 4.59 <sub>a</sub>	6.89 $\pm$ 1.85 <sub>b</sub>	11.45 $\pm$ 0.92 <sub>b</sub>	49.93 $\pm$ 4.67 <sub>b</sub>
Male U16	27.95 $\pm$ 6.33 <sub>b</sub>	7.77 $\pm$ 2.12 <sub>c</sub>	11.88 $\pm$ 1.06 <sub>c</sub>	49.28 $\pm$ 5.74 <sub>b</sub>
Male U19	30.46 $\pm$ 6.39 <sub>b</sub>	8.40 $\pm$ 1.91 <sub>c</sub>	12.20 $\pm$ 0.96 <sub>c</sub>	48.47 $\pm$ 5.15 <sub>b</sub>
Male Sen	36.17 $\pm$ 5.28 <sub>c</sub>	10.50 $\pm$ 1.24 <sub>d</sub>	13.25 $\pm$ 0.62 <sub>d</sub>	52.01 $\pm$ 3.71 <sub>b</sub>

198 Note: The mean values in the same row that don't share the same subscript differ  
 199 significantly ( $p < .05$ ).

200 The results in the fitness tests also differ depending on the competitive level. Thus, as  
 201 table 4 shows, within each category of male soccer players, the highest competitive  
 202 players obtained the best results both in CMJ and in the Leger test. These differences  
 203 are significant in U19 (CMJ  $p=0.000$ ; Leger level  $p=0.16$ ) and U14 (CMJ  $p=0.003$ ;  
 204 Leger level  $p=0.000$ ) categories, but not in U16 (CMJ  $p=0.431$ ; Leger level  $p=0.523$ ).

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

Table 4.

Comparison of test results according to competitive level (mean  $\pm$  sd).

Category and competitive level	CMJ (cm)	Leger level	Máx. speed Leger (km/h)	VO <sub>2</sub> máx (ml/kg/min)
National U19	38.78 $\pm$ 4.51 <sub>a</sub>	11.50 $\pm$ 0.71 <sub>a</sub>	13.75 $\pm$ 0.35 <sub>a</sub>	55.10 $\pm$ 2.12 <sub>a</sub>
Regional U19	28.14 $\pm$ 4.69 <sub>b</sub>	8.21 $\pm$ 1.80 <sub>b</sub>	12.11 $\pm$ 0.90 <sub>b</sub>	48.07 $\pm$ 5.01 <sub>a</sub>
Autonomic U16	29.01 $\pm$ 4.85 <sub>a</sub>	8.05 $\pm$ 1.68 <sub>a</sub>	12.02 $\pm$ 0.84 <sub>a</sub>	49.10 $\pm$ 4.76 <sub>a</sub>
Regional U16	27.76 $\pm$ 6.57 <sub>a</sub>	7.71 $\pm$ 2.18 <sub>a</sub>	11.86 $\pm$ 1.09 <sub>a</sub>	49.31 $\pm$ 5.92 <sub>a</sub>
Autonomic U14	23.55 $\pm$ 4.77 <sub>a</sub>	7.79 $\pm$ 1.67 <sub>a</sub>	11.89 $\pm$ 0.83 <sub>a</sub>	52.05 $\pm$ 4.46 <sub>a</sub>
Regional U14	21.04 $\pm$ 4.23 <sub>b</sub>	6.32 $\pm$ 1.73 <sub>b</sub>	11.16 $\pm$ 0.87 <sub>b</sub>	48.57 $\pm$ 4.31 <sub>b</sub>

213 Note: The mean values in the same row that don't share the same subscript differ  
 214 significantly ( $p < .05$ ). Comparisons only analyzed within the same category.  
 215 As can be seen in Table 5, the results of the CMJ do not differ in the analysis depending  
 216 on the position ( $p=0.848$ ). In the Leger test, the wingers ( $p=0.019$ ), midfielders  
 217 ( $p=0.018$ ) and fullbacks ( $p=0.043$ ) obtained significantly better results than the  
 218 goalkeepers. The rest of the differences between players of different positions were not  
 219 statistically significant.

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

Table 5.

Comparison of test results according to position (mean  $\pm$  sd).

Position	CMJ (cm)	Leger level	Máx. speed Leger (km/h)	VO <sub>2</sub> máx (ml/kg/min)
Goalkeepers	25.95 $\pm$ 6.68 <sub>a</sub>	6.21 $\pm$ 1.97 <sub>a</sub>	11.10 $\pm$ 0.99 <sub>a</sub>	44.83 $\pm$ 5.31 <sub>a</sub>
Central def.	25.97 $\pm$ 8.42 <sub>a</sub>	7.30 $\pm$ 2.46 <sub>ab</sub>	11.65 $\pm$ 1.23 <sub>ab</sub>	48.23 $\pm$ 6.72 <sub>ab</sub>
Fullbacks	26.18 $\pm$ 5.93 <sub>a</sub>	7.73 $\pm$ 1.83 <sub>b</sub>	11.87 $\pm$ 0.91 <sub>b</sub>	49.53 $\pm$ 5.43 <sub>b</sub>
Midfielders	26.01 $\pm$ 7.20 <sub>a</sub>	7.75 $\pm$ 2.37 <sub>b</sub>	11.88 $\pm$ 1.18 <sub>b</sub>	48.86 $\pm$ 6.74 <sub>b</sub>
Wingers	25.53 $\pm$ 6.47 <sub>a</sub>	7.84 $\pm$ 2.06 <sub>b</sub>	11.92 $\pm$ 1.03 <sub>b</sub>	50.33 $\pm$ 4.95 <sub>b</sub>
Strikers	24.54 $\pm$ 5.66 <sub>a</sub>	7.13 $\pm$ 2.21 <sub>ab</sub>	11.56 $\pm$ 1.11 <sub>ab</sub>	47.75 $\pm$ 5.79 <sub>ab</sub>

227 Note: The mean values in the same row that don't share the same subscript differ  
228 significantly ( $p < .05$ ).

#### 229 4. Discussion

230 The main objective of this research was to assess the physical condition of young and  
231 amateur soccer players. The extensor strength of lower limbs was evaluated using a  
232 CMJ jump and the aerobic fitness through the Leger test. In addition, differences in test  
233 results were analyzed according to gender, category (age), demarcation and competitive  
234 level. The results obtained showed statistically significant differences both in CMJ and  
235 in the Leger test according to gender, category and competitive level. In the Leger test,  
236 statistically significant differences were also found in the analysis based on the position.

237 In contrast, the results in the CMJ test were not significantly different in the position  
238 analysis.

239 The assessment of physical fitness in soccer, and especially the measurement of vertical  
240 jump and the aerobic fitness, has aroused considerable interest in recent years. As a  
241 result we can find numerous studies that address this issue. However, to our knowledge,

242 most of these investigations have been carried out in samples with elite male players in  
243 senior (30) and U19 categories (31). There are few studies that analyze the results of  
244 young players with lower competitive levels (8). In addition, few works study the  
245 physical condition in women soccer players (21, 32), and even less include female  
246 samples with a non-professional competitive level.

247 The results of this study for CMJ are lower than those reported by Salinero et al. (8) in  
248 the same categories (28 cm vs 22 cm in U14, 33 cm vs 26 cm in U16 and 36 cm vs 30  
249 cm in U19) and also lower than those published by Soares, Fragoso, Massuça, &  
250 Barrigas (33) in U14 (33 cm vs 26 cm) or by Rebelo et al. (34) in U19 (40 cm vs 30  
251 cm). In the senior male category, our data are also lower (40-45 cm vs 36 cm) than  
252 those reported by other authors (6, 15, 32). Similarly, the results of the female group in  
253 CMJ are lower than those provided by other studies (16, 32), both in senior category  
254 (28-31 cm vs 21 cm) as in U19 (29 cm vs 21 cm).

255 In our opinion, the large and recurring discrepancies found in the results of our study, in  
256 comparison with the previous investigations, can be understood in large part due to  
257 differences in the competitive levels of the samples. In our work, the majority of the  
258 participants competed in local categories while in the above-mentioned investigations,  
259 professional senior soccer players or young elite players were evaluated. On the other  
260 hand, the measuring instruments used were also different. In the cited studies, force  
261 platforms were used in most cases, while in our work it was measured through the  
262 MyJump2 iOS App. However, due to the proven validity and reliability of this  
263 application for measuring vertical jump (28, 35), we consider that the differences in the  
264 results are more related to the competitive level of the subjects than to the instruments  
265 of measure. In addition, this hypothesis is reinforced when we select, for comparison  
266 with other studies, only the results of U19 players in the national category. In this case,

267 our results are comparable (40 vs 39 cm) to those provided by Rebelo et al. (34) in elite  
268 U19.

269 In relation to the Leger test, our data are very similar to those published by Nassis,  
270 Geladas, Soldatos, Sotiropoulos, Bekris, & Souglis (36) in a study conducted with non-  
271 professional senior soccer players (11.2 vs. 10.5 levels). On the contrary, the data we  
272 present corresponding to the maximum speed reached in U19 category prove to be  
273 lower than those reported by Aziz, Tan, & Teh (31), in their research with national level  
274 U19 players (13.6 vs 12.2 km/h). However, as we have previously pointed out with the  
275 CMJ, if we select only U19 with national competitive level, our results in these  
276 parameters prove to be even higher (13.6 vs 13.75 km/h).

277 Regarding the comparison of the results in the tests according to age and position,  
278 Salinero et al. (8) and Soarez et al. (33) found no significant differences in CMJ with  
279 players aged 10 to 17, as in our study. On the contrary, Rebelo et al. (34) show  
280 significant differences between the fullbacks and midfielders compared to the rest of the  
281 players. In this study with elite U19 sample, the goalkeepers, central defenders and  
282 forwards jumped between 4 and 5 cm more in CMJ. Haugen et al. (16) present results in  
283 the same line in their work with an elite female sample. In this case the midfielders  
284 jumped about 2 cm less than the goalkeepers, defenders and forwards. On the other  
285 hand, Sporis et al. (30) found significant differences in the vertical jump of the  
286 goalkeepers (48.5 cm) compared to the rest of the positions (44-45 cm).

287 In the Leger test, in our study, statistically significant differences can be observed in the  
288 last level completed and the maximum speed reached according to age and competitive  
289 level. However, these differences are not appreciated in the  $VO_2$ max values presented.  
290 This may be due to the fact that these values have been estimated using the equation  
291 proposed by Leger, Mercier, Gadoury, & Lambert (29) and not directly measured,

292 which may lead to an underestimation between 1.5 and 5.5 ml/kg/min according to  
293 previous studies (37). For this reason, it is important to note that the results of the Leger  
294 test must be analyzed according to the maximum speed reached or the last level  
295 completed, losing reliability if they are analyzed based on the estimated VO<sub>2</sub>max.  
296 Finally, the available scientific evidence holds that there are significant differences in  
297 the aerobic fitness between the goalkeepers and the field players, having the  
298 goalkeepers a significantly lower level in the estimated VO<sub>2</sub>max due to the results in the  
299 incremental run test (38-40). On the contrary, the cited studies found no differences  
300 between the field players. These data are in accordance with the results of our study, in  
301 which the goalkeepers were also the ones who obtained the worst results and no  
302 significant differences were found among field players. These facts can be explained  
303 according to the mechanical and physiological demands of the different positions.

## 304 **5. Conclusions**

305 Following the results of the study and comparisons with other similar publications, we  
306 consider that the results in physical fitness tests, specifically those that have been  
307 carried out in this investigation (CMJ and Leger test), are influenced by gender,  
308 category, competitive level and position of subjects. In this way, we consider necessary  
309 the evaluation of the physical condition according to parameters specific to each  
310 particular context. On the contrary, there is a risk of reaching spurious conclusions by  
311 comparing the results of the tests with data from samples with different characteristics  
312 than the one to be evaluated.

313 In this sense, this study presents reference values for CMJ and Leger test, in a sample  
314 with a mainly local competitive level. In addition, results of women soccer players in  
315 senior and U19 categories, with a non-professional competitive level, are presented. In

316 our opinion, this is something not yet sufficiently described by the scientific  
317 community.

## 318 **6. Limitations**

319 Finally, and regarding the limitations of the study, it is necessary to point out the  
320 absence of instruments to objectively assess the degree of fatigue of the subjects during  
321 the Leger test, being very complicated to ensure that the participants ran until  
322 exhaustion. In addition, there was a numerical decompensation according to gender and  
323 competitive level, since our sample is mainly male and with a local competitive level.  
324 For this reason, the results of this work may reflect the reality of most of the low  
325 playing standards soccer clubs, and not so much of academies with higher competitive  
326 levels. In this way, we consider that more studies are needed and with more balanced  
327 samples, which incorporate comparisons based on the competitive level and gender to  
328 advance in the understanding of this field of knowledge. In the same line it is necessary  
329 to use instruments such as pulsometers or portable lactate analyzers to assess the level  
330 of fatigue of the subjects during the Leger test, to ensure that it is done until exhaustion.

## 331 **Conflict of Interest**

332 No conflicts of interest and no sources of funding are declared.

## 333 **Acknowledgments**

334 Thanks to all the players who have participated in this study, as well as to all the  
335 physical trainers, coaches and soccer clubs who have allowed us access to the sample  
336 and helped during the data collection.

337

## 338 **References**

339 1. Haugen T, Seiler S. Physical and physiological testing of soccer players: why, what  
340 and how should we measure? *Sport Sci* 2015; 19: 10-27.



- 341 2. Stølen T, Chamari K, Castagna C, Wisløff U. Physiology of soccer. An  
342 Update. *Sport Med* 2005; 35(6): 501-536.
- 343 3. Bradley PS, Carling C, Diaz AG, Hood P, Barnes C, Ade J, ... Mohr M. Match  
344 performance and physical capacity of players in the top three competitive standards  
345 of English professional soccer. *Hum Movement Sci* 2013; 32(4): 808-821.
- 346 4. Le Gall, F, Carling C, Williams M, Reilly T. Anthropometric and fitness  
347 characteristics of international, professional and amateur male graduate soccer  
348 players from an elite youth academy. *J Sci Med Sport* 2010; 13(1): 90-95.
- 349 5. Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A, Simpson BM, Bourdon PC. Match running  
350 performance and fitness in youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 2010; 31(11): 818-825.
- 351 6. Cometti G, Maffiuletti NA, Pousson M, Chatard JC, Maffulli N. Isokinetic strength  
352 and anaerobic power of elite, subelite and amateur French soccer players. *Int J Sports*  
353 *Med* 2001; 22(01): 45-51.
- 354 7. Lidor R, Côté J, Hackfort D. ISSP position stand: To test or not to test? The use of  
355 physical skill tests in talent detection and in early phases of sport development. *Int J*  
356 *Sport Exer P* 2009; 7(2): 131-146.
- 357 8. Salinero JJ, González-Millán C, Vicente DR, Vicén JA, García-Aparicio A,  
358 Rodríguez-Cabrero M, Cruz A. Valoración de la condición física y técnica en  
359 futbolistas jóvenes. *Rev Int Med Cienc Ac* 2013; 13(50): 401-418.
- 360 9. Faude O, Koch T, Meyer T. Straight sprinting is the most frequent action in goal  
361 situations in professional football. *J Sport Sci* 2012; 30(7): 625-631.
- 362 10. Comfort P, Stewart A, Bloom L, Clarkson B. Relationships between strength, sprint,  
363 and jump performance in well-trained youth soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*  
364 2014; 28(1): 173-177.

- 365 11. Köklü Y, Alemdaroğlu U, Özkan A, Koz M, Ersöz G. The relationship between  
366 sprint ability, agility and vertical jump performance in young soccer players. *Sci*  
367 *Sport* 2015; 30(1): e1-e5.
- 368 12. López-Segovia M, Marques M, Van den Tillaar R, González-Badillo J. Relationships  
369 between vertical jump and full squat power outputs with sprint times in U21 soccer  
370 players. *J Hum Kin* 2011; 30: 135-144.
- 371 13. Di Salvo V, Baron R, González-Haro C, Gormasz C, Pigozzi F, Bachl N. Sprinting  
372 analysis of elite soccer players during European Champions League and UEFA Cup  
373 matches. *J Sport Sci* 2010; 28(14): 1489-1494.
- 374 14. Bishop C, Brashill C, Abbott W, Read P, Lake J, Turner A. Jumping Asymmetries  
375 Are Associated With Speed, Change of Direction Speed, and Jump Performance in  
376 Elite Academy Soccer Players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2019.
- 377 15. Casajús JA. Seasonal variation in fitness variables in professional soccer  
378 players. *Journal Sport Med Phys Fit* 2001; 41(4): 463-469.
- 379 16. Haugen TA, Tønnessen E, Seiler S. Speed and countermovement-jump  
380 characteristics of elite female soccer players, 1995–2010. *Int J Sport Physiol*  
381 2012; 7(4): 340-349.
- 382 17. Markovic G, Dizdar D, Jukic I, Cardinale M. Reliability and factorial validity of  
383 squat and countermovement jump tests. *J Strength Cond Res* 2004; 18(3): 551-555.
- 384 18. Fernandez-Santos JR, Ruiz JR, Cohen DD, Gonzalez-Montesinos JL, Castro-Piñero  
385 J. Reliability and validity of tests to assess lower-body muscular power in children. *J*  
386 *Strength Cond Res* 2015, 29(8), 2277-2285.
- 387 19. Ekblom B. Applied physiology of soccer. *Sports Med* 1986; 3(1): 50-60.
- 388 20. Reilly T, Bangsbo J, Franks A. Anthropometric and physiological predispositions for  
389 elite soccer. *J Sport Sci* 2000; 18(9): 669-683.

- 390 21. Haugen TA, Tønnessen E, Hem E, Leirstein S, Seiler S. VO<sub>2</sub>max characteristics of  
391 elite female soccer players, 1989–2007. *Int J Sport Physiol* 2014; 9(3): 515-521.
- 392 22. Castagna, Impellizzeri, Belardinelli, Abt, Coutts, Chamari, D'Ottavio.  
393 Cardiorespiratory responses to Yo-yo Intermittent Endurance Test in nonelite youth  
394 soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2006; 20(2): 326-330.
- 395 23. Leger LA, Lambert J. A maximal multistage 20-m shuttle run test to predict  
396 VO<sub>2</sub>max. *Eur J Appl Physiol O* 1982; 49(1): 1-12.
- 397 24. Dellal A, Keller D, Carling C, Chaouachi A, Wong DP, Chamari K. Physiologic  
398 effects of directional changes in intermittent exercise in soccer players. *J Strength*  
399 *Cond Res* 2010; 24(12): 3219-3226.
- 400 25. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Marcora SM. Physiological assessment of aerobic  
401 training in soccer. *J Sport Sci* 2005; 23(6): 583-592.
- 402 26. Jiménez-Reyes P, Pareja-Blanco F, Cuadrado-Peñafiel V, Ortega-Becerra M, Párraga  
403 J, González-Badillo JJ. Jump height loss as an indicator of fatigue during sprint  
404 training. *J Sport Sci* 2018; 37(9): 1029-1037.
- 405 27. García-Pinillos F, Molina-Molina A, Latorre-Román PA. Impact of an incremental  
406 running test on jumping kinematics in endurance runners: can jumping kinematic  
407 explain the post-activation potentiation phenomenon?. *Sport Biomech* 2016; 15(2):  
408 103-115.
- 409 28. Balsalobre-Fernández C, Glaister M, Lockey RA. The validity and reliability of an  
410 iPhone app for measuring vertical jump performance. *J Sport Sci* 2015; 33(15):  
411 1574-1579.
- 412 29. Leger LA, Mercier D, Gadoury C, Lambert J. The multistage 20 metre shuttle run  
413 test for aerobic fitness. *J Sport Sci* 1988; 6(2): 93-101.

- 414 30. Sporis G, Jukic I, Ostojic SM, Milanovic D. Fitness profiling in soccer: physical and  
415 physiologic characteristics of elite players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2009; 23(7): 1947-  
416 1953.
- 417 31. Aziz AR, Tan FH, Teh KC. A pilot study comparing two field tests with the  
418 treadmill run test in soccer players. *J Sport Sci Med* 2005; 4(2): 105.
- 419 32. Castagna C, Castellini E. Vertical jump performance in Italian male and female  
420 national team soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2013; 27(4): 1156-1161.
- 421 33. Soarez H, Fragoso I, Massuça L, Barrigas C. Impacto de la maduración y de los  
422 puestos específicos en la condición física en jóvenes futbolistas. *Apunts. Medicina de*  
423 *l'Esport* 2012; 47(174): 73-81.
- 424 34. Rebelo A, Brito J, Maia J, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Figueiredo AJ, Bangsbo J, ... Seabra  
425 A. Anthropometric characteristics, physical fitness and technical performance of  
426 under-19 soccer players by competitive level and field position. *Int J Sport Med*  
427 2013; 34(04): 312-317.
- 428 35. Brooks ER, Benson AC, Bruce LM. Novel Technologies Found to be Valid and  
429 Reliable for the Measurement of Vertical Jump Height With Jump-and-Reach  
430 Testing. *J Strength Cond Res* 2018; 32(10): 2838-2845.
- 431 36. Nassis GP, Geladas ND, Soldatos Y, Sotiropoulos A, Bekris V, Souglis A.  
432 Relationship between the 20-m multistage shuttle run test and 2 soccer-specific field  
433 tests for the assessment of aerobic fitness in adult semi-professional soccer players. *J*  
434 *Strength Cond Res* 2010; 24(10): 2693-2697.
- 435 37. Ruiz JR, Silva G, Oliveira N, Ribeiro JC, Oliveira JF, Mota J. Criterion-related  
436 validity of the 20-m shuttle run test in youths aged 13–19 years. *J Sport Sci*  
437 2009; 27(9): 899-906.

- 438 38. Kavcic I, Milic R, Jourkesh M, Ostojic SM, Ozkol MZ. Comparative study of  
439 measured and predicted VO<sub>2</sub>max during a multi-stage fitness test with junior soccer  
440 players. *Kinesiology* 2012; 44(1).
- 441 39. Lago-Peñas C, Casais L, Dellal A, Rey E, and Domínguez E. Anthropometric and  
442 physiological characteristics of young soccer players according to their playing  
443 positions: relevance for competition success. *J Strength Cond Res* 2011; 25(12):  
444 3358-3367.
- 445 40. Vescovi JD, Brown TD, Murray TM. Positional characteristics of physical  
446 performance in Division I college female soccer players. *J Sport Med Phys Fit*  
447 2006; 46(2): 221.
- 448